

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/018,819	03/18/2002	John Hawkins	MPD 317	4489		
7	7590 06/02/2004		EXAMINER			
Russ R Stolle Huntsman Corporation			BOYER, C	BOYER, CHARLES I		
PO Box 15730			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Austin, TX 78761			1751			
			DATE MAILED: 06/02/2004	4		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

-				A			
Office Action Summany		Application		Applicant(s)			
		10/018,81	19	HAWKINS ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit			
		Charles I		1751			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
THE - Exter after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION IN THE PROPERTY OF THIS COMMUNICATION IN THE PROPERTY OF THE	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no even in. a reply within the state eriod will apply and wi statute, cause the apple	ent, however, may a reply be timutory minimum of thirty (30) days Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to ication to become ABANDONED	ely filed  will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication.  0 (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 March 2004.						
· —	·	b)  This action is non-final.					
3)	·						
•	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) 🖂	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are pending in the application.						
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>1,3 and 4</u> is/are rejected.						
7)🖂	Claim(s) <u>2</u> is/are objected to.						
8)[	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicati	on Papers						
9) 🔲 🤄	The specification is objected to by the Exar	miner.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> </ul>							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment	i(s)		_				
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary ( Paper No(s)/Mail Dat					
3) Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE r No(s)/Mail Date		5) Notice of Informal Pa				
C Detect and To							

Art Unit: 1751

## **DETAILED ACTION**

This action is responsive to applicants' amendment and response received March 1, 2004. Claims 1-4 are currently pending.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims I and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Tsaur et al, US 5,281,355.

Tsaur et al teach a structured liquid detergent comprising up to 30% sodium citrate/nitriloacetate, up to 56% anionic/nonionic surfactant, up to 15% sorbitol, and the balance water (col. 20, lines 40-58). As this reference meets all material limitations of the claims at hand, the reference is anticipatory.

Applicants have traversed this rejection on the grounds that Tsaur et al make no mention of the surfactant, water and electrolyte being present in relative proportions adapted to form a flocculated, dispersed, structured, surfactant system. The examiner

Art Unit: 1751

maintains that as Tsaur et al teach structured detergents, the components must be present in proportions sufficient to form said structured detergent. Accordingly, the rejection is maintained.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 3, and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tsaur et al, US 5,281,355.

Tsaur et al are relied upon as set forth above. The specific proportions of components set forth in present claim 4 are not taught by Tsaur et al, however, as these proportions are overlapped by the teachings of the reference, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to prepare a structured detergent which meets the limitations of present claim 4 based on the teachings of Tsaur et al.

Applicants have traversed this rejection on the grounds that Tsaur et al make no mention of the surfactant, water and electrolyte being present in relative proportions adapted to form a flocculated, dispersed structured surfactant system. The examiner maintains that as Tsaur et al teach structured detergents, the components must be

Art Unit: 1751

present in proportions sufficient to form said structured detergent. Accordingly, the rejection is maintained.

Applicants have traversed the remaining art rejections on the grounds that these references do not teach structured detergents. Although the references cited teach all the components of applicants' invention, the examiner acknowledges that the term "structured" is art-recognized as referring to a relatively narrow scope of detergents with specific properties. Accordingly, applicants' argument is persuasive and these references are withdrawn.

#### Allowable Subject Matter

2. Claim 2 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

3. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Art Unit: 1751

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Charles I Boyer whose telephone number is 571 272 1311. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:30 to 6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yogendra Gupta can be reached on 571 272 1316. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> Charles I Bover **Primary Examiner**

Art Unit 1751